

# **Felons with Guns: An Examination of Operation CEASEFIRE\***

**Alexander M. Holsinger, Ph.D.  
Kenneth J. Novak, Ph.D.**

**Department of Sociology / Criminal Justice & Criminology  
University of Missouri – Kansas City  
208 Haag Hall  
5100 Rockhill Road  
Kansas City, Missouri 64110-2499**

**816-235-1116  
816-235-1117 (fax)**

**February 19, 2003**

\* This research was funded by the Kansas City Metropolitan Crime Commission, from a grant obtained from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. The views expressed in this report are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the University of Missouri-Kansas City or the Kansas City Metropolitan Crime Commission. The authors wish to acknowledge the significant contributions made by Richard Cook, Director of the KCMCC, and the work of Eve Jensen and Andy Crowther of UMKC. The authors also thank the following individuals for their support and advice: Mark James, Dana Nichols, Judy Robinette, Lt. Michael Webb.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>HEADING</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	
CITIZEN DATA	3
OFFENDER DATA	6
OFFICIAL DATA	8
RESULTS	
CITIZEN DATA	9
OFFENDER DATA	29
OFFICIAL DATA	52
CONCLUSIONS	
CITIZEN DATA	77
OFFENDER DATA	77
OFFICIAL DATA	79

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1: Summary of Response Rates per Zip Code	page 5
Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Citizen Sample	10
Table 3. Fear of crime and efforts to avoid victimization through target hardening	11
Table 4. Citizen Experience with Victimization in the past 6 months	13
Table 5. Indicators of social cohesion and mutual responsibility	15
Table 6. Citizens' perceptions of crime and disorder: "How big of a problem is..."	16
Table 7. Processing questions regarding what would happen to a person with a prior felony if they were caught with a gun	18
Table 8. Knowledge of campaign and behavior	20
Table 9. Source of CEASEFIRE exposure (percentage indicating the source of CEASEFIRE exposure who indicated they were aware of the ad campaign)	21
Table 10. Impact of CEASEFIRE exposure on beliefs	22
Table 11. Indicators of punitive-ness	24
Table 12. Impact of CEASEFIRE Exposure on Beliefs & Attitudes	25
Table 13. Logistic regression model predicting respondents' belief that a felon caught with a gun will serve any time in prison	28
Table 14. Logistic regression model predicting respondents' belief that a felon caught with a gun will serve at least five years in prison	28
Table 15. Demographic characteristics of offender sample: Waves 1, 2, and 3	30
Table 16. Fear of Crime Variables: Waves 1, 2, and 3	33
Table 17. Criminal History of Respondents: Waves 1, 2, and 3	34
Table 18. Processing questions regarding what would happen to a person with a prior felony if they were caught with a gun	37
Table 19. Information pertaining to CEASEFIRE and awareness of CEASEFIRE: Waves 1, 2, and 3	39

Table 20. Impact of CEASEFIRE [CF](only includes those who indicated some exposure to CEASEFIRE)	page 41
Table 21. Impact of CEASEFIRE Exposure on Beliefs – entire sample	44
Table 22. Logistic regression model identifying the correlates of CEASEFIRE exposure	46
Table 23. Logistic regression model predicting respondents’ belief that a felon caught with a gun will serve time	48
Table 24. Logistic regression model predicting respondents’ belief that a felon caught with a gun will serve at least five years in prison	49
Table 25. Logistic regression model predicting respondents’ belief their own behavior will be affected as a result of CEASEFIRE	51
Table 26. Characteristics and Criminal History: Non-Felons vs. Felons	59
Table 27. Arrest Trends in Kansas City, Missouri	62
Table 28. Arrest Trends in Kansas City, Kansas	68
Figure 1. Percent of Gun Crime Committed by Felons- KC Metro-Area, by Month	54
Figure 2. Percent of Gun Crime Committed by Felons – KCK, by Month	55
Figure 3. Percent of Gun Crime Committed by Felons – KCMO, by Month	56
Figure 4. Percentage of Gun Crime Committed by Felons Before and After CEASEFIRE: Kansas City Missouri and Kansas City Kansas	57
Figure 5. Violent Crime Arrests (KCMO)	64
Figure 6. Homicide Arrests (KCMO)	65
Figure 7. Projected vs. Actual Arrests (KCMO) (April 2001-March 2002)	66
Figure 8. Gun Possession Arrests (KCKS)	69
Figure 9. Violent Crime Arrests (KCKS)	70
Figure 10. Homicide Arrests (KCKS)	71
Figure 11. Projected vs. Actual Arrests (KCKS) (April 2001-March 2002)	73
Figure 12. Projected vs. Actual Arrests Metro Area (April 2001-March 2002)	74